

SOME RESULTS ON LYUBEZNIK'S F -MODULES

LINQUAN MA

ABSTRACT. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, K) be a regular local ring of equal characteristic $p > 0$. In [Hoc07], Hochster showed that the category of F_R -modules has enough injectives, so that every F_R -module has an injective resolution in this category. We show in this paper that when R is F -finite, every F_R -module has an F_R -injective resolution of length $\leq d + 1$ where $d = \dim R$ (or equivalently, $\text{Ext}_{F_R}^i(M, N) = 0$ for all F_R -modules M and N when $i > d + 1$). In some sense, this is saying that the category of F_R -modules has “global dimension” $\leq d + 1$. In [Hoc07], Hochster also showed that when M and N are F_R -finite F_R -modules, $\text{Hom}_{F_R}(M, N)$ is finite. We show that in general $\text{Ext}_{F_R}^1(M, N)$ is not necessarily finite, but it is finite if M is supported only at \mathfrak{m} and K is separably closed.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [Hoc07], Hochster showed some properties of Lyubeznik's F -modules:

Theorem 1.1 (cf. Theorem 3.1 in [Hoc07]). *The category of F_R -modules over a Noetherian regular ring R of prime characteristic $p > 0$ has enough injectives, i.e., every F_R -module can be embedded in an injective F_R -module.*

Theorem 1.2 (cf. Theorem 5.1 and Corollary 5.2(b) in [Hoc07]). *Let R be a Noetherian regular ring of prime characteristic $p > 0$. Let M and N be F_R -finite F_R -modules. Then $\text{Hom}_{F_R}(M, N)$ is a finite-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ and, hence, is a finite set. Moreover, when R is local, every F_R -finite F_R -module has only finitely many F_R -submodules.*

The main purpose of this paper is to get some further results based on Hochster's results. In connection with Theorem 1.1, we prove that every F_R -module has an F_R -injective resolution of length $\leq d + 1$ where $d = \dim R$. In some sense, this is saying that the global dimension of Lyubeznik's F_R -module is finite (and this can be viewed as an analogue of the corresponding statement for \mathcal{D} -modules in equal characteristic 0). Theorem 1.2 makes it quite natural to ask whether the higher Ext groups are also finite in this category (when M and N are F_R -finite F_R -modules). We show that in general this fails even for Ext^1 but we prove a special case for finiteness of Ext^1 .

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we review some definitions and basic properties of right $R\{F\}$ -modules and Lyubeznik's F_R -modules. In Section 3 we relate Lyubeznik's F_R -modules with right $R\{F\}$ -modules, and we apply some results on right $R\{F\}$ -modules to prove that when R is an F -finite regular local ring, every F_R -module has an F_R -injective resolution of length $\leq d + 1$ where $d = \dim R$ (or equivalently, $\text{Ext}_{F_R}^i(M, N) = 0$ for all F_R -modules M and N when $i > d + 1$). In Section 4 we show some (non)finiteness results on $\text{Ext}_{F_R}^1(M, N)$ when M and N are F_R -finite F_R -modules. Examples will be given throughout.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper, (R, \mathfrak{m}, K) will always denote a regular local ring of equal characteristic $p > 0$ and dimension d . We use $R^{(1)}$ to denote the target ring of the Frobenius map $F: R \rightarrow R$. When M is an R -module, we use $M^{(1)}$ to denote the corresponding module over $R^{(1)}$. We shall let F denote the Frobenius functor from R -modules to R -modules. In detail, $F(M)$ is given by base change to $R^{(1)}$ and then identifying $R^{(1)}$ with R . Note that by Kunz's result [Kun69], we know that $R^{(1)}$ is faithfully flat as an R -module. We say R is *F-finite* if $R^{(1)}$ is finitely generated as an R -module. So for an *F-finite* regular local ring, $R^{(1)}$ is finite and free as an R -module.

We use $R\{F\}$ to denote the Frobenius skew polynomial ring, which is the noncommutative ring generated over R by the symbols $1, F, F^2, \dots$ by requiring that $Fr = r^p F$ for $r \in R$. Note that $R\{F\}$ is always free as a left R -module and flat as a right R -module. When R is *F-finite*, $R\{F\}$ is also free as a right R -module (because $R^{(1)}$ is finite free in this case). We say an R -module M is a *right $R\{F\}$ -module* if it is a right module over the ring $R\{F\}$, or equivalently, there exists a morphism $\phi: M \rightarrow M$ such that for all $r \in R$ and $x \in M$, $\phi(r^p x) = r\phi(x)$ (the right action of F can be identified with ϕ). This morphism can be also viewed as an R -linear map $\phi: M^{(1)} \rightarrow M$. We note that a right $R\{F\}$ -module is the same as a *Cartier module* defined in [BB11] (where it is defined for general noetherian rings and schemes of equal characteristic $p > 0$).

We collect some definitions from [Lyu97]. These are the key concepts and objects that we shall study in this note. Notice that the original definitions (as well as most results in [Lyu97] and [Hoc07]) do not require that R be local. Nonetheless, we will restrict to the local case to avoid some technical arguments.

Definition 2.1 (*cf.* Definition 1.1 in [Lyu97]). An F_R -module is an R -module M equipped with an R -linear isomorphism $\theta: M \rightarrow F(M)$ which we call the structure morphism of M . A homomorphism of F_R -modules is an R -module homomorphism $f: M \rightarrow M'$ such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{f} & M' \\ \downarrow \theta & & \downarrow \theta' \\ F(M) & \xrightarrow{F(f)} & F(M') \end{array}$$

Definition 2.2 (*cf.* Definition 1.9 and Definition 2.1 in [Lyu97]). A generating morphism of an F_R -module M is an R -module homomorphism $\beta: M_0 \rightarrow F(M_0)$, where M_0 is some R -module, such that M is the limit of the inductive system in the top row of the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} M_0 & \xrightarrow{\beta} & F(M_0) & \xrightarrow{F(\beta)} & F^2(M_0) & \xrightarrow{F^2(\beta)} & \dots \\ \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow F(\beta) & & \downarrow F^2(\beta) & & \\ F(M_0) & \xrightarrow{F(\beta)} & F^2(M_0) & \xrightarrow{F^2(\beta)} & F^3(M_0) & \xrightarrow{F^3(\beta)} & \dots \end{array}$$

and $\theta: M \rightarrow F(M)$, the structure isomorphism of M , is induced by the vertical arrows in this diagram. An F_R -module M is called *F_R -finite* if M has a generating morphism $\beta: M_0 \rightarrow F(M_0)$ with M_0 a finitely generated R -module.

We also recall some important Theorems in [Lyu97] that we shall need.

Theorem 2.3 (cf. Example 1.2(b'') in [Lyu97]). *If M is an F_R -module and $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow I^\bullet$ is the minimal injective resolution of M in the category of R -modules, then each I^j acquires a structure of F_R -module such that the resolution becomes a complex of F_R -modules and F_R -module homomorphisms.*

Theorem 2.4 (cf. Proposition 2.9(b) in [Lyu97]). *If M is an F_R -module, so is M_f for every $f \in R$. Moreover, when M is an F_R -finite F_R -module, so is M_f .*

Theorem 2.5 (cf. Theorem 3.2 in [Lyu97]). *Every F_R -finite F_R -module has finite length in the category of F_R -modules (note that we assumed R is local).*

Theorem 2.6 (cf. Theorem 2.12(b) in [Lyu97]). *A simple F_R -module has a unique associated prime.*

3. GLOBAL DIMENSION OF LYUBEZNIK'S F -MODULES

In this section we relate Lyubeznik's F_R -modules with right $R\{F\}$ -modules (we need that R be regular here) and prove the main results on injective resolution of F_R -modules. We start by proving that the category of right $R\{F\}$ -modules has finite global dimension $\leq d+1$ (note that in [EK04], a similar result on left $R\{F\}$ -modules was proved and there the results were stated for schemes but only for finite Tor-dimension).

Lemma 3.1. *Let R be a regular local ring, and let M be a right $R\{F\}$ -module, so that there is a map $\phi: M \rightarrow M$ such that $\phi(r^p x) = r\phi(x)$ for every $r \in R$ and $x \in M$ (note this can be also viewed as an R -linear map $\phi: M^{(1)} \rightarrow M$). Then we have an exact sequence of right $R\{F\}$ -modules*

$$0 \rightarrow M^{(1)} \otimes_R R\{F\} \xrightarrow{\alpha} M \otimes_R R\{F\} \xrightarrow{\beta} M \rightarrow 0$$

where for every $x \in M^{(1)}$,

$$\alpha(x \otimes F^i) = \phi(x) \otimes F^i - x \otimes F^{i+1}$$

and for every $y \in M$,

$$\beta(y \otimes F^i) = \phi^i(y)$$

Proof. It is clear that every element in $M^{(1)} \otimes_R R\{F\}$ (resp. $M \otimes_R R\{F\}$) can be written uniquely as a (finite) sum $\sum x_i \otimes F^i$ where $x_i \in M^{(1)}$ (resp. $x_i \in M$) because $R\{F\}$ is free as a left R -module (this verifies that our maps α and β are well-defined). It is straightforward to check that α, β are morphisms of right $R\{F\}$ -modules and that $\beta \circ \alpha = 0$ and β is surjective (because $\beta(y \otimes 1) = \phi^0(y) = y$). So it suffices to show α is injective and $\ker(\beta) \subseteq \text{im}(\alpha)$.

Suppose $\alpha(\sum x_i \otimes F^i) = 0$. By definition of α we get $\sum(\phi(x_i) - x_{i-1}) \otimes F^i = 0$. Hence by uniqueness we get $\phi(x_i) = x_{i-1}$ for all i . Hence $x_i = 0$ for all i (because it is a finite sum). This proves α is injective.

Now suppose $\beta(\sum_{i=0}^n y_i \otimes F^i) = 0$. We want to find x_i ($0 \leq i \leq n$) such that

$$(3.1.1) \quad \alpha\left(\sum_{i=0}^n x_i \otimes F^i\right) = \sum_{i=0}^n y_i \otimes F^i.$$

By definition of β we know that $\sum_{i=0}^n \phi^i(y_i) = 0$. Now one can check that

$$\begin{aligned} x_0 &= -(y_1 + \phi(y_2) + \cdots + \phi^{n-1}(y_n)) \\ x_2 &= -(y_2 + \phi(y_3) + \cdots + \phi^{n-2}(y_n)) \\ &\vdots \\ x_{n-1} &= -y_n \\ x_n &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

is a solution of (3.1.1). This proves $\ker(\beta) \subseteq \text{im}(\alpha)$. \square

Theorem 3.2. *Let R be an F -finite regular local ring. Then the category of right $R\{F\}$ -modules has finite global dimension $\leq d + 1$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that every right $R\{F\}$ -module M has $R\{F\}$ -projective dimension $\leq d + 1$ in this category. We take a free resolution of M (in the category of right $R\{F\}$ -modules) and truncate it at the d -th spot

$$0 \rightarrow P \rightarrow P_{d-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

where each P_i ($0 \leq i \leq d-1$) is a free right $R\{F\}$ -module. Since R is F -finite, $R\{F\}$ is free as a right R -module. The above resolution can be viewed as a free resolution of M over R . So we know that P is a right $R\{F\}$ -module and is projective as an R -module.

By Lemma 3.1, we have an exact sequence of right $R\{F\}$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow P^{(1)} \otimes_R R\{F\} \rightarrow P \otimes_R R\{F\} \rightarrow P \rightarrow 0.$$

Since P is projective as an R -module and R is F -finite, $P^{(1)}$ is also projective as an R -module. So $P^{(1)} \otimes_R R\{F\}$ and $P \otimes_R R\{F\}$ are projective as right $R\{F\}$ -modules. This shows that

$$0 \rightarrow P^{(1)} \otimes_R R\{F\} \rightarrow P \otimes_R R\{F\} \rightarrow P_{d-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

is a projective resolution of M of length $d + 1$ in the category of right $R\{F\}$ -modules. \square

The next lemma basically tells that when R is F -finite, every F_R -module has a (non-canonical) structure of a right $R\{F\}$ -module. We note that a large part of this lemma has already been observed in [LZZ11] where a more explicit description when R is a polynomial ring is given. We give a proof here for completeness and we also check functoriality in detail.

Lemma 3.3. *Let R be an F -finite regular local ring. We fix an isomorphism (as $R^{(1)}$ -modules) $\phi: \text{Hom}_R(R^{(1)}, R) \rightarrow R^{(1)}$. Then giving an R -module M a right $R\{F\}$ -module structure is equivalent to giving an R -linear morphism $\alpha_M: M \rightarrow F(M)$. Moreover, giving an $R\{F\}$ -linear map τ between two right $R\{F\}$ -modules M, N is equivalent to giving a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{\tau} & N \\ \downarrow \alpha_M & & \downarrow \alpha_N \\ F(M) & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \tau} & F(N) \end{array}$$

In particular, every F_R -module has a structure of right $R\{F\}$ -module and maps between F_R -modules are maps of right $R\{F\}$ -modules.

Proof. We have the (non-canonical) isomorphism ϕ because R is an F -finite regular local ring (hence $R^{(1)}$ is finite free over R). Notice that giving M a right $R\{F\}$ -module structure is the same as giving an R -linear map

$$M^{(1)} \rightarrow M$$

which is the same as giving an $R^{(1)}$ -linear map

$$M^{(1)} \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R^{(1)}, M).$$

This in turn is the same as giving an $R^{(1)}$ -linear map (because $R^{(1)}$ is finite free over R)

$$M^{(1)} \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R^{(1)}, R) \otimes_R M.$$

Using the isomorphism $\phi: \text{Hom}_R(R^{(1)}, R) \rightarrow R^{(1)}$, we have that this is the same as giving an $R^{(1)}$ -linear map

$$M^{(1)} \rightarrow R^{(1)} \otimes_R M.$$

But this is the same as a map (identifying $R^{(1)}$ with R)

$$M \rightarrow F(M).$$

Now we check functoriality. To give a map $M \xrightarrow{\tau} N$ of right $R\{F\}$ -modules is the same as giving a commutative diagram

$$(3.3.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} M^{(1)} & \xrightarrow{\tau^{(1)}} & N^{(1)} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Hom}_R(R^{(1)}, M) & \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}_R(R^{(1)}, \tau)} & \text{Hom}_R(R^{(1)}, N) \end{array}$$

But we always have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}_R(R^{(1)}, M) & \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}_R(R^{(1)}, \tau)} & \text{Hom}_R(R^{(1)}, N) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ \text{Hom}_R(R^{(1)}, R) \otimes_R M & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \tau} & \text{Hom}_R(R^{(1)}, R) \otimes_R N \\ \downarrow \phi \otimes 1 & & \downarrow \phi \otimes 1 \\ R^{(1)} \otimes_R M & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \tau} & R^{(1)} \otimes_R N \end{array}$$

So giving a commutative diagram (3.3.1) is the same as giving

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{\tau} & N \\ \downarrow \alpha_M & & \downarrow \alpha_N \\ F(M) & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \tau} & F(N) \end{array}$$

□

Remark 3.4. It is worth pointing out that every $R^{(1)}$ -isomorphism $\phi': \text{Hom}_R(R^{(1)}, R) \rightarrow R^{(1)}$ is obtained from a fixed ϕ by multiplication by an invertible element of $R^{(1)}$, i.e. $\phi' = u \cdot \phi$ where $u \in R^{(1)}$ is a unit (see [LZZ11]). Therefore for any F_R -module M , the right $R\{F\}$ -module structures induced by ϕ and ϕ' differ by multiplication by a unit in $R^{(1)}$.

Now we come to one of the main results in this note. Recall that by Theorem 1.1, every F_R -module has an injective resolution in this category (we call such resolution an F_R -injective resolution). We will show that each F_R -module has an F_R -injective resolution of length $\leq d+1$ (or equivalently $\text{Ext}_{F_R}^i(M, N) = 0$ for $i > d+1$). In some sense this proves that the category of F_R -modules has finite “global dimension”.

Theorem 3.5. *Let R be an F -finite regular local ring, and let M, N be two F_R -modules. We fix an isomorphism $\phi: \text{Hom}_R(R^{(1)}, R) \rightarrow R^{(1)}$ and give M, N right $R\{F\}$ -module structures via ϕ as in Lemma 3.3. Then we have $\text{Ext}_{F_R}^i(M, N) \cong \text{Ext}_{R\{F\}}^i(M, N)$ for every i , where the left hand side denotes the Ext group computed in the category of F_R -modules and the right hand side denotes the Ext group computed in the category of right $R\{F\}$ -modules.*

Proof. We use Yoneda’s characterization of Ext^i (cf. Chapter 3.4 in [Wei94]). Note that this is the same as the derived functor Ext^i whenever the abelian category has enough injectives or enough projectives, hence holds for both the category of F_R -modules and the category of right $R\{F\}$ -modules. An element in $\text{Ext}_{F_R}^i(M, N)$ (resp. $\text{Ext}_{R\{F\}}^i(M, N)$) is an equivalence class of exact sequences of the form

$$\xi : 0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_i \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

where each X_i is an F_R -module (resp. right $R\{F\}$ -module) and the maps are maps of F_R -modules (resp. maps of right $R\{F\}$ -modules). The equivalence relation is generated by the relation $\xi_X \sim \xi_Y$ if there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & X_1 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & X_i & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & Y_1 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & Y_i & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

From this characterization of Ext^i it is clear that we have a well-defined map

$$\iota : \text{Ext}_{F_R}^i(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{R\{F\}}^i(M, N)$$

taking an equivalence class of an exact sequence of F_R -modules to the same exact sequence but viewed as an exact sequence in the category of right $R\{F\}$ -modules (because we fix ϕ , every F_R -module has the structure of a right $R\{F\}$ -module and this identification is functorial by Lemma 3.3).

Conversely, if we have an element in $\text{Ext}_{R\{F\}}^i(M, N)$, say ξ , we have an exact sequence of right $R\{F\}$ -modules, by Lemma 3.3 again, this induces a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & X_1 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & X_i & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & F(N) & \longrightarrow & F(X_1) & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & F(X_i) & \longrightarrow & F(M) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & F^2(N) & \longrightarrow & F^2(X_1) & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & F^2(X_i) & \longrightarrow & F^2(M) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong & & \end{array}$$

Taking direct limits for columns and noticing that M, N are F_R -modules, we get a commutative diagram

$$(3.5.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & X_1 & \longrightarrow & \cdots \longrightarrow X_i \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim F^e(X_1) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \longrightarrow \varinjlim F^e(X_i) \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

It is clear that the bottom exact sequence represents an element in $\text{Ext}_{F_R}^i(M, N)$, we call this element ξ' . Then we have a map

$$\eta : \text{Ext}_{R\{F\}}^i(M, N) \xrightarrow{\xi \mapsto \xi'} \text{Ext}_{F_R}^i(M, N).$$

This map is well-defined because it is easy to check that if $\xi_1 \sim \xi_2$, then we also have $\xi'_1 \sim \xi'_2$. It is also straightforward to check that ι and η are inverses of each other. Obviously $\eta \circ \iota([\xi]) = [\xi]$ and $\iota \circ \eta([\xi']) = [\xi'] = [\xi]$, where the last equality is by (3.5.1) (which shows that $\xi \sim \xi'$, and hence they represent the same equivalence class in $\text{Ext}_{R\{F\}}^i(M, N)$). \square

Remark 3.6. With the same assumptions and notations as in the above Theorem 3.5, if we use $\text{Ext}_{R\{F\}}^i(M, -)|_{F_R}$ to denote $\text{Ext}_{R\{F\}}^i(M, -)$ restricted to the category of F_R -modules, then we actually have $\text{Ext}_{R\{F\}}^i(M, -)|_{F_R} \cong \text{Ext}_{F_R}^i(M, -)$ as functors for every $i \geq 0$.

To see this, it suffices to show that $\text{Ext}_{R\{F\}}^i(M, -)|_{F_R}$ is the i -th right derived functor of $\text{Hom}_{F_R}(M, -)$ (for a detailed explanation of derived functors and universal δ -functor, we refer to [Har77], Chapter 3.1). First I claim that $(\text{Ext}_{R\{F\}}^i(M, -)|_{F_R})_{i \geq 0}$ form a universal δ -functor. Obviously they form a δ -functor (because they form a δ -functor in the larger category of right $R\{F\}$ -modules). This δ -functor is universal because for every F_R -injective module I , $\text{Ext}_{R\{F\}}^i(M, I) \cong \text{Ext}_{F_R}^i(M, I) = 0$ by Theorem 3.5. So by Corollary 1.4 in Chapter 3 of [Har77], $\text{Ext}_{R\{F\}}^i(M, -)|_{F_R}$ is isomorphic to the i -th derived functor of $\text{Ext}_{R\{F\}}^0(M, -)|_{F_R} = \text{Hom}_{R\{F\}}(M, -)|_{F_R}$. But $\text{Hom}_{R\{F\}}(M, -)|_{F_R} \cong \text{Hom}_{F_R}(M, -)$ by Lemma 3.3. So $\text{Ext}_{R\{F\}}^i(M, -)|_{F_R}$ is the i -th derived functor of $\text{Hom}_{F_R}(M, -)$, which is (by definition) $\text{Ext}_{F_R}^i(M, -)$ for every i .

Theorem 3.7. *Let R be an F -finite regular local ring. Then each F_R -module has an F_R -injective resolution of length $\leq d + 1$.*

Proof. By Theorems 3.2 and 3.5, it is clear that for each pair of F_R -modules N_1 and N_2 , $\text{Ext}_{F_R}^i(N_1, N_2) = \text{Ext}_{R\{F\}}^i(N_1, N_2) = 0$ when $i > d + 1$. Now the Theorem follows from standard homological algebra argument. For any F_R -module M , take an F_R -injective resolution and truncate it at the $(d + 1)$ -th spot, we get an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow E_d \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$$

where each E_i ($0 \leq i \leq d$) is injective as an F_R -module. Now for any F_R -module L , we have $\text{Ext}_{F_R}^1(L, N) = \text{Ext}_{F_R}^{d+2}(L, M) = \text{Ext}_{R\{F\}}^{d+2}(L, M) = 0$. This proves that N is injective as an F_R -module and hence M has an F_R -injective resolution of length $d + 1$. \square

Now we study some examples. The simplest example of an F_R -module is R equipped with structure isomorphism the identity map (sending 1 in R to 1 in $F(R) \cong R$). Another important example is the injective hull of the residue field $E = E(R/\mathfrak{m})$. We can give it

a generating morphism $\beta: R/\mathfrak{m} \rightarrow F(R/\mathfrak{m})$ by sending $\bar{1}$ to $\overline{x_1^{p-1} \cdots x_n^{p-1}}$ (where x_1, \dots, x_n are minimal generators of \mathfrak{m}). We will call these structure isomorphisms of R and E the *standard* F_R -module structure on R and E .

Example 3.8. Let's look at the minimal injective resolution of R (in the category of R -modules)

$$0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow E(R) \rightarrow \oplus_{\text{ht}(P)=1} E(R/P) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \oplus_{\text{ht}(P)=d-1} E(R/P) \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0.$$

If we give R the standard F_R -module structure, the above sequence is an exact sequence of F_R -modules also by Theorem 2.3. Hence by Yoneda's characterization of Ext groups, it represents an element in $\text{Ext}_{F_R}^d(E, R)$. It is clear that this element is not 0 because it is not 0 even in $\text{Ext}_R^d(E, R)$ ($\text{Ext}_R^d(E, R) \cong \text{Hom}_R(E, E)$ and it is easy to check that the above sequence as an element in $\text{Ext}_R^d(E, R)$ corresponds to the identity map in $\text{Hom}_R(E, E)$).

Remark 3.9. Example 3.8 shows that the “global dimension” of the category of F_R -modules is at least d . Hence, combined with our Theorem 3.7, when R is F -finite this global dimension is either d or $d + 1$. We conjecture that it is $d + 1$. When $R = K$ is an F -finite field, the next example will show that this global dimension is indeed 1 (not $0 = \dim K$).

Example 3.10. Let $M = \oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} R x_i$ denote the infinite direct sum of copies of R . We can give M an F_R -module structure by setting

$$\theta_M : x_i \rightarrow x_{i+1}.$$

One can check that we have an F_R -linear map $\gamma: M \rightarrow R$ (the target R is equipped with the standard F_R -module structure) such that

$$\gamma(\sum r_i x_i) = \sum r_i.$$

Call the kernel of this map N , then we have an exact sequence of F_R -modules

$$0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\gamma} R \rightarrow 0.$$

I claim that the above sequence does not split (in the category of F_R -modules). Suppose $g: R \rightarrow M$ is a splitting, say $g(1) = y \neq 0$. Then a direct computation gives that $\theta_M(y) = y^p$, which is impossible by the definition of θ_M . Hence, by Yoneda's characterization of Ext groups, we know that $\text{Ext}_{F_R}^1(R, N) \neq 0$. In particular the above holds when we take R to be any F -finite field K , so the global dimension of the category of F_K -modules is 1.

4. NON-FINITENESS OF $\text{Ext}_{F_R}^1$

Recall that by theorem 1.2, $\text{Hom}_{F_R}(M, N)$ is a finite set when M and N are F_R -finite F_R -modules. We prove that $\text{Ext}_{F_R}^1(M, N)$ is finite when M is supported only at \mathfrak{m} and R has separably closed residue field. And we show that in general $\text{Ext}_{F_R}^1(M, N)$ is not necessarily a finite set. We need some lemmas.

Lemma 4.1 (cf. Proposition 3.1 in [Lyu97]). *Let S be a regular local ring of equal characteristic $p > 0$ and let $R \rightarrow S$ be a surjective homomorphism with kernel $I \subseteq R$. There exists an equivalence of categories between the F_R -modules supported on $\text{Spec } S = V(I) \subseteq \text{Spec } R$ and the F_S -modules. Under this equivalence the F_R -finite F_R -modules supported on $\text{Spec } S = V(I) \subseteq \text{Spec } R$ correspond to the F_S -finite F_S -modules.*

Lemma 4.2 (cf. Theorem 4.2(c)(e) in [Hoc07]). *Let K be a separably closed field. Then every F_K -finite F_K -module is isomorphic with a finite direct sum of copies of K with the standard F_K -module structure. Moreover, $\text{Ext}_{F_K}^1(K, K) = 0$.*

Lemma 4.3. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, K) be a regular local ring with K separably closed. Then every F_R -finite F_R -module supported only at \mathfrak{m} is isomorphic (as F_R -module) with a finite direct sum of copies of $E = E(R/\mathfrak{m})$ (where E is equipped with the standard F_R -module structure). Moreover, $\text{Ext}_{F_R}^1(E, E) = 0$.*

Proof. This is clear from Lemma 4.1 (applied to $S = K$ and $I = \mathfrak{m}$) and Lemma 4.2 (it is straightforward to check that the standard F_R -module structure on E correspond to the standard F_K -module structure on K). \square

Theorem 4.4. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, K) be a regular local ring such that K is separably closed and let M, N be F_R -finite F_R -modules. Then $\text{Ext}_{F_R}^1(M, N)$ is finite if M is supported only at \mathfrak{m} .*

Proof. Since K is separably closed, by Lemma 4.3 we know that M is a finite direct sum of copies of E in the category of F_R -modules. So it suffices to show that $\text{Ext}_{F_R}^1(E, N)$ is finite. For

$$0 \rightarrow N_1 \rightarrow N_2 \rightarrow N_3 \rightarrow 0$$

exact, we know that

$$\text{Ext}_{F_R}^1(E, N_1) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{F_R}^1(E, N_2) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{F_R}^1(E, N_3)$$

is exact. So we immediately reduce to the case that N is simple (since we assume local, every F_R -finite F_R -module has finite length by Theorem 2.5).

We want to show that $\text{Ext}_{F_R}^1(E, N)$ is finite when N is simple. There are two cases: $\text{Ass}_R(N) = \mathfrak{m}$ or $\text{Ass}_R(N) = P \neq \mathfrak{m}$ (by Theorem 2.6). If $\text{Ass}_R(N) = \mathfrak{m}$, then $N \cong E$ as F_R -module by Lemma 4.3. Then $\text{Ext}_{F_R}^1(E, N) = \text{Ext}_{F_R}^1(E, E) = 0$ by Lemma 4.3.

If $\text{Ass}_R(N) = P \neq \mathfrak{m}$, by Yoneda's characterization of Ext groups, it suffices to show that we only have a finite number of isomorphism classes of short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow L \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0$$

of F_R -modules. We first show the number of choices of isomorphism classes for L is finite. Say $\text{Ass}_R(N) = P \neq \mathfrak{m}$, then we have $P \in \text{Ass}_R(L) \subseteq \{P, \mathfrak{m}\}$. If $\text{Ass}_R(L) = \{P, \mathfrak{m}\}$, then $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(L) \neq 0$ and it does not intersect N . So $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(L) \oplus N$ is an F_R -submodule of L . Hence we must have $L \cong H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(L) \oplus N \cong E \oplus N$ (since L has length 2 as F_R -module). If $\text{Ass}_R(L) = \{P\}$, we can pick $x \in \mathfrak{m} - P$. Localizing at x gives a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow N_x \rightarrow L_x \rightarrow E_x \rightarrow 0.$$

But $E_x = 0$, so we get $N_x \cong L_x$ as F_R -module. Since x is not in P , we have $L \hookrightarrow L_x$ as F_R -module. That is, L is isomorphic to an F_R -submodule of L_x , hence is isomorphic to an F_R -submodule of N_x . But N_x is F_R -finite by Theorem 2.4, so it only has finitely many F_R -submodules by Theorem 1.2. This proves that the number of choices of isomorphism classes for L is finite.

Because the number of choices of isomorphism classes for L is finite and (for each F_R -finite F_R -module L) $\text{Hom}_{F_R}(N, L)$ is always finite by Theorem 1.2. Hence the number of isomorphism classes of short exact sequences $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow L \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0$ is finite. \square

If M is an F_R -module with structure morphism θ_M , for every $x \in M$ we use x^p to denote $\theta_M^{-1}(1 \otimes x)$. Notice that when $M = R$, this is exactly the usual meaning of x^p . We let G_M denote the set $\{x^p - x | x \in M\}$. It is clear that G_M is an abelian subgroup of M .

Theorem 4.5. *Giving R the standard F_R -module structure, then for every F_R -module M we have $\text{Ext}_{F_R}^1(R, M) \cong M/G_M$ as an abelian group.*

Proof. By Yoneda's characterization of Ext groups, an element in $\text{Ext}_{F_R}^1(R, M)$ can be represented by an exact sequence of F_R -modules

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow L \rightarrow R \rightarrow 0.$$

It is clear that $L \cong M \oplus R$ as R -module. Moreover, one can check that the structure isomorphism θ_L composed with $\theta_M^{-1} \oplus \theta_R^{-1}$ defines an isomorphism

$$M \oplus R \xrightarrow{\theta_L} F(M) \oplus F(R) \xrightarrow{\theta_M^{-1} \oplus \theta_R^{-1}} M \oplus R$$

which sends (y, r) to $(y + rz, r)$ for every $(y, r) \in M \oplus R$ and for some $z \in M$. Hence, giving a structure isomorphism of L is equivalent to giving some $z \in M$. Therefore, θ_L is determined by an element $z \in M$. Two exact sequences with structure isomorphism θ_L, θ'_L are in the same isomorphism class if and only if there exists a map $g: L \rightarrow L$, sending (y, r) to $(y + rx, r)$ for some $x \in M$ such that

$$(1 \otimes g) \circ \theta_L = \theta'_L \circ g.$$

Now we apply $\theta_M^{-1} \oplus \theta_R^{-1}$ on both side. If θ_L, θ'_L are determined by z_1 and z_2 respectively, a direct computation gives that

$$(\theta_M^{-1} \oplus \theta_R^{-1}) \circ (1 \otimes g) \circ \theta_L(y, r) = (y + rz_1 + rx^p, r)$$

while

$$(\theta_M^{-1} \oplus \theta_R^{-1}) \circ \theta'_L \circ g(y, r) = (y + rz_2 + rx, r).$$

So θ_L and θ'_L are in the same isomorphism class if and only if there exists $x \in M$ such that

$$z_2 - z_1 = x^p - x.$$

So $\text{Ext}_{F_R}^1(R, M) \cong M/G_M$ as an abelian group. \square

Corollary 4.6. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, K) be a complete regular local ring with K separably closed. Then $\text{Ext}_{F_R}^1(R, R) = 0$*

Proof. By Theorem 4.5, it suffices to show $R = G_R$. That is, for every $r \in R$ we can find $x \in R$ such that $x^p - x = r$. Since we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow R \rightarrow K \rightarrow 0$$

so one sees easily that to solve the equation in R , it is sufficient to solve the equation in \mathfrak{m} and K . One can solve it in K because K is separably closed. For every $y \in \mathfrak{m}$, we let

$$x = -y - y^p - y^{p^2} - \dots$$

which is well-defined because $y \in \mathfrak{m}$ and R is complete. One can check easily that $x^p - x = y$ holds. This finishes the proof. \square

Now we give some examples to show that, in general, $\text{Ext}_{F_R}^1(R, M) \cong M/G_M$ is not necessarily finite, even in simple cases.

Example 4.7. Let $R = k(t)$ or $k[t]_{(t)}$ with k an algebraically closed field. Hence in the first case, R is a non-separably closed F -finite field and in the second case, R is a non-complete F -finite DVR with algebraically closed residue field. We will prove that $\text{Ext}_{F_R}^1(R, R)$ is infinite in both cases (this will show that the conditions in Corollary 4.6 are necessary). By Theorem 4.5, it suffices to show that for $a, b \in k$ ($a, b \neq 0$ in the second case), $\frac{1}{t-a}$ and $\frac{1}{t-b}$ are different in R/G_R whenever $a \neq b$. Otherwise there exists $\frac{h(t)}{g(t)} \in R$ with $h(t), g(t) \in k[t]$ ($g(t)$ is not divisible by t in the second case) and $\gcd(h(t), g(t)) = 1$ such that

$$\frac{1}{t-a} - \frac{1}{t-b} = \frac{h(t)^p}{g(t)^p} - \frac{h(t)}{g(t)}$$

which gives

$$(4.7.1) \quad \frac{a-b}{t^2 - (a+b)t + ab} = \frac{h(t)^p - h(t) \cdot g(t)^{p-1}}{g(t)^p}.$$

Since $\gcd(h(t), g(t)) = 1$, $\gcd(h(t)^p - h(t) \cdot g(t)^{p-1}, g(t)^p) = 1$. So from (4.7.1) we know that $g(t)^p | (t^2 - (a+b)t + ab)$. This is clearly impossible.

Example 4.8. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, K) be a regular local ring with K infinite, and let $E = E(R/\mathfrak{m})$ be the injective hull of the residue field. We will show that $\text{Ext}_{F_R}^1(R, E)$ is always infinite when $\dim R \geq 1$. Recall that $E = \varinjlim_n \frac{R}{(x_1^n, \dots, x_d^n)}$ so every element z in E can be expressed as $(r; x_1^n, \dots, x_d^n)$ for some $n \geq 1$ (which means z is the image of r in the n -th piece in this direct limit system).

By Theorem 4.5 it suffices to show that E/G_E is infinite. I claim that two different socle elements u_1, u_2 are different in E/G_E . Otherwise we have

$$(4.8.1) \quad u_1 - u_2 = z^p - z$$

in E . Since $u_1 - u_2$ is a nonzero element in the socle of E , we may write $u_1 - u_2 = (\lambda; x_1, \dots, x_d)$ in E (for some $\lambda \neq 0$ in K). Say $z = (r; x_1^n, \dots, x_d^n)$ with n minimum. Then (4.8.1) will give

$$(r; x_1^n, \dots, x_d^n) = (\lambda; x_1, \dots, x_d) + (r^p; x_1^{np}, \dots, x_d^{np}).$$

This will give us

$$(4.8.2) \quad r^p + \lambda(x_1 \cdots x_d)^{np-1} - r(x_1 \cdots x_d)^{np-n} \in (x_1^{np}, \dots, x_d^{np}).$$

If $n = 1$, then $z \in \text{Soc}(E)$, hence r is a unit in R (since $z \neq 0$). But (4.8.2) shows that $r^p \in (x_1, \dots, x_d)$ which is a contradiction.

If $n \geq 2$, we have $np - 1 \geq np - n \geq p$. We know from (4.8.2) that for every $1 \leq i \leq d$, we have $r^p \in (x_1^{np}, \dots, x_{i-1}^{np}, x_i^p, x_{i+1}^{np}, \dots, x_d^{np})$. Hence $r \in (x_1^n, \dots, x_{i-1}^n, x_i, x_{i+1}^n, \dots, x_d^n)$ for every $1 \leq i \leq d$. Taking their intersection, we get that $r \in (x_1 \cdots x_d, x_1^n, \dots, x_d^n)$. That is, mod (x_1^n, \dots, x_d^n) , we have $r = (x_1 \cdots x_d)r_0$. But then we have $z = (r_0; x_1^{n-1}, \dots, x_d^{n-1})$ contradicting our choice of n .

So we know that any two different socle elements u_1, u_2 are different in E/G_E . Since the socle of E is a one-dimensional K -vector space and we assume that K is infinite, this shows that E/G_E is infinite.

Remark 4.9. Example 4.8 also shows that $E = E(R/\mathfrak{m})$, though injective as an R -module, is *not* injective as an F_R -module (with its standard F_R -structure) when $\dim R \geq 1$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to thank Mel Hochster for many helpful and valuable discussions on the problem. I would also like to thank Gennady Lyubeznik for reading a preliminary version of the paper and for his comments.

REFERENCES

- [BB11] M. BLICKLE AND G. BÖCKLE: *Cartier modules: finiteness results*, J. Reine Angew. Math **661** (2011), 85–123, arXiv:0909.2531.
- [EK04] M. EMERTON AND M. KISIN: *The Riemann-Hilbert correspondence for unit F -crystals*, Astérisque **293** (2004), vi+257.
- [Har77] R. HARTSHORNE: *Algebraic geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52. MR0463157 (57 #3116)
- [Hoc07] M. HOCHSTER: *Some finiteness properties of Lyubeznik’s F -modules*, Contemp. Math. **448** (2007), 119–127.
- [Kun69] E. KUNZ: *Characterizations of regular local rings for characteristic p* , Amer. J. Math. **91** (1969), 772–784. MR0252389 (40 #5609)
- [Lyu97] G. LYUBEZNIK: *F -modules: applications to local cohomology and D -modules in characteristic $p > 0$* , J. Reine Angew. Math. **491** (1997), 65–130. MR1476089 (99c:13005)
- [LZZ11] G. LYUBEZNIK, W. ZHANG, AND Y. ZHANG: *A property of the Frobenius map of a polynomial ring*, Contemp. Math **555** (2011), 137–143, arXiv:1001.2949.
- [Wei94] C. A. WEIBEL: *An introduction to homological algebra*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 38, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994. MR1269324 (95f:18001)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN, ANN ARBOR, MICHIGAN 48109
E-mail address: lquanma@umich.edu